

**Reviewers: Please complete the highlighted boxes**

**PROPOSAL REVIEW SCORE SHEET**

**Symposium Design Project Proposal**

**Reviewer #** \_\_\_\_\_

All submissions will be evaluated in a double-blind review process, using identical score sheets, thus ensuring a consistent and unbiased review process. The items listed in each category are intended as prompts for the author and the reviewer as they consider the completeness and quality of the submission. Each of the categories will be considered in determining the appropriateness of the presentation for this symposium.

**Title** \_\_\_\_\_

**Submission #** \_\_\_\_\_

<b>Rate each response on a scale of 0 – 5 points</b> With 0 being lowest to 5 being highest – 0 represents a missing item 2.5 or lower is in the unacceptable range 3 is marginal 3.5 or higher is in the acceptable range	0	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Rate the appropriateness for this symposium</b> Presentation would advance current understanding of environmentally responsible design Material is appropriate for a well-informed audience						
<b>Rate the clarity of communication</b> Abstract provides concise overview of design project Appendix includes images of innovative ERID features						
<b>Rate the level of innovative/original/creative thinking expressed in the design project</b>						
<b>Rate the likelihood of the presentation fostering a stimulating exchange of ideas</b> Discussion of design project has potential to enhance Current understanding and/or explore divergent perspectives						
<b>Rate the quality of the design project proposal</b> Adherence to formatting requirements Appropriate syntax, grammar, punctuation, & spelling						
<b>Total Points Scored out of possible 25 points</b>	<b>/25</b>					
<b>Reviewer comments for the author:</b> (Comments should provide constructive criticism in order to assist author(s) in refining the presentation prior to the symposium and/or in improving subsequent submissions.)						